

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,664	09/18/2003	Mary Therese Jernigan	71635	8569
7590 05/11/2006			EXAMINER	
Dennis V. Carmen Eastman Chemical Company P.O. Box 511 Kingsport, TN 37662-5075			ZEMEL, IRINA SOPJIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
		DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

R	ノ

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/665,664	JERNIGAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Irina S. Zemel	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 February 2006</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 65-77 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 65-77 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		-				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1006; 2/1/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/665,664

Art Unit: 1711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims \$\ointerlightarrow{6}77\$ are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,744,578 to Duh, (hereinafter "Duh").

The Duh reference discloses a process for thermally crystallizing solid polyester polymer pellets in a pipe comprising directing a flow of solid pellets in a liquid medium through a pipe. See illustrative example 1 in columns 7 and 8. The pipe has an aspect ratio of greater than 15:1 (pipe 10 ½" long with internal diameter of 0.622 "), wherein the solid pellets are crystallized in the pipe at a liquid medium temperature greater than the Tg of the polyester polymer. The reference expressly discusses that the crystallization temperature is about 10-20 C greater than the Tg of the crystallizing polyester. See column 5, lines 7-11. The temperatures of the liquid media exemplified in the illustrative examples exceeds the boiling point of those liquids and the crystallization is carried out at temperatures exceeding 140 C. The pellets prior to the introduction to the crystallizer are substantially amorphous and have degree of crystallization exceeding 30 % (as calculated from densities of amorphous and crystalline polymer given in column 8 and the table). The reference expressly discloses continuous processes, thus envisaging continuous flow of the liquid through the pipe for

Art Unit: 1711

the required residence time, which is disclosed as about 5 minutes. The reference further expressly discloses that the crystallization time is a variable that controls (along with the temperature) the crystallinity of the final product. The pipe length for the continious process would also govern the residence time. Thus, choosing the claimed crystallization times and apparatus length would have been obvious as modification of result effective variables governing the final crystallinity of the polymer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irina S. Zemel whose telephone number is (571)272-0577. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571)272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Art Unit 1711
>
> Modern Line 1711 Irina S. Zemel